

Synchronization of dissipative dynamical systems driven by non-Gaussian Lévy noises *

Xianming Liu², Jinqiao Duan^{1†}, Jicheng Liu² and Peter E. Kloeden³

1. Department of Applied Mathematics

Illinois Institute of Technology

Chicago, IL 60616, USA

E-mail: duan@iit.edu

2. School of Mathematics and Statistics

Huazhong University of Science and Technology

Wuhan 430074, China

and

3. Institut für Mathematik

Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität

D-60054, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

E-mail: kloeden@math.uni-frankfurt.de

January 9, 2009

Abstract

Dynamical systems driven by Gaussian noises have been considered extensively in modeling, simulation and theory. However, complex systems in engineering and science are often subject to non-Gaussian

*This research was partly supported by the NSF grants 0620539 and 0731201, the Cheung Kong Scholars Program and the K. C. Wong Education Foundation.

†Corresponding author: duan@iit.edu

fluctuations or uncertainties. A coupled dynamical system under non-Gaussian Lévy noises is considered. After discussing cocycle property, stationary orbits and random attractors, a synchronization phenomenon is shown to occur, when the drift terms of the coupled system satisfy certain dissipativity and integrability conditions. The synchronization result implies that coupled dynamical systems share a dynamical feature in some asymptotic sense.

Key Words: Synchronization; Lévy noise, Skorohod metric, random attractor, càdlàg random dynamical system, impact of noise.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): 60H15, 60F10, 60G17

1 Introduction

Synchronization of coupled dynamical systems is an ubiquitous phenomenon that has been observed in biology, physics and other areas. It concerns coupled dynamical systems that share a dynamical feature in an asymptotic sense. A descriptive account of its diversity of occurrence can be found in the recent book [33]. Recently Caraballo and Kloeden [6, 7] have proved that synchronization in coupled deterministic dissipative dynamical systems persists in the presence of various Gaussian noises (in terms of Brownian motion), provided that appropriate concepts of random attractors and stochastic stationary solutions are used instead of their deterministic counterparts.

In this paper we investigate a synchronization phenomenon for coupled dynamical systems driven by non-Gaussian noises (in terms of Lévy motion). We show that couple dissipative systems exhibits synchronization for a class of Lévy motions.

Gaussian processes like Brownian motion have been widely used to model fluctuations in engineering and science. The sample paths of a particle driven by Brownian motion are continuous in time almost surely (i.e., no jumps), the mean square displacement increases linearly in time (i.e., normal diffusion), and the probability density function decays exponentially in space (i.e., light tail or exponential relaxation) [20]. But some complex phenomena involve non-Gaussian fluctuations, with peculiar properties such as anomalous diffusion (mean square displacement is a nonlinear power law of time) [4] and heavy tail (non-exponential relaxation) [35]. For instance, it has been argued that diffusion in a case of geophysical turbulence [28] is anomalous. Loosely

speaking, the diffusion process consists of a series of “pauses”, when the particle is trapped by a coherent structure, and “flights” or “jumps” or other extreme events, when the particle moves in a jet flow. Moreover, anomalous electrical transport properties have been observed in some amorphous materials such as insulators, semiconductors and polymers, where transient current is asymptotically a power law function of time [26, 12]. Finally, some paleoclimatic data [9] indicates heavy tail distributions and some DNA data [28] shows long range power law decay for spatial correlation.

Lévy motions are thought to be appropriate models for non-Gaussian processes with jumps [25]. Let us recall that a Lévy motion $L(t)$, or L_t , is a non-Gaussian process with independent and stationary increments, i.e., increments $\Delta L(t, \Delta t) = L(t + \Delta t) - L(t)$ are stationary (therefore ΔL has no statistical dependence on t) and independent for any non overlapping time lags Δt . Moreover, its sample paths are only continuous in probability, namely, $\mathbb{P}(|L(t) - L(t_0)| \geq \delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow t_0$ for any positive δ . With a suitable modification [1], these paths may be taken as càdlàg, i.e., paths are continuous on the right and have limits on the left. This continuity is weaker than the usual continuity in time. In fact, a càdlàg function has finite or at most countable discontinuities on any time interval (see, e.g., p.118, [1]). This generalizes the Brownian motion $B(t)$ or B_t , since $B(t)$ satisfies all these three conditions, but *additionally*, (i) almost every sample path of the Brownian motion is continuous in time in the usual sense, and (ii) the increments of Brownian motion are Gaussian distributed.

This paper is organized as follows. We first recall some basic facts about stochastic differential equations (SDEs) driven by Lévy noise in section 2, including a fact that the solution mappings of such SDEs generate random dynamical systems (RDS). In section 3, we formulate the problem of synchronization of stochastic dynamical systems driven by Lévy noises. The main result (Theorem 1) and an example are presented in section 4.

2 Dynamical systems driven by Lévy noises

Dynamical systems driven by non-Gaussian Lévy motions have attracted much attention recently [1, 27]. Under certain conditions, the SDEs driven by Lévy motion generate stochastic flows [1, 18], and also generate random dynamical systems (or cocycles) in the sense of Arnold [2]. Recently, exit time estimates have been investigated by Imkeller & Pavlyukevich [13, 14],

and Yang & Duan [34] for SDEs driven by Lévy motion. This shows some qualitatively different dynamical behaviors between SDEs driven by Gaussian and non-Gaussian noises.

2.1 Lévy processes

A Lévy process or motion on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is characterized by a drift parameter $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a covariance $d \times d$ matrix A and a non-negative Borel measure ν , defined on $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and concentrated on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (y^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dy) < \infty,$$

or equivalently

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{y^2}{1 + y^2} \nu(dy) < \infty.$$

This measure ν is the so called the Lévy jump measure of the Lévy process $L(t)$. Moreover Lévy process L_t has the following Lévy-Itô decomposition

$$L_t = \gamma t + B_t + \int_{|x| < 1} x \tilde{N}(t, dx) + \int_{|x| \geq 1} x N(t, dx) \quad (1)$$

where $N(dt, dx)$ is Poisson random measure,

$$\tilde{N}(dt, dx) = N(dt, dx) - \nu(dx)dt \quad (2)$$

is the compensated Poisson random measure of L_t , and B_t is an independent Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with covariance matrix A (see [1, 25, 24, 34]). We call (A, ν, γ) the *generating triplet*.

The next useful lemma provides states some important pathwise properties of L_t with two-sided time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $|\cdot|$ denotes the usual Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 1. (*Pathwise boundedness and convergence*)

Let L_t be a two-sided Lévy motion on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for which $\mathbb{E}|L_1| < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}L_1 = \gamma$. Then

$$(i) \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{1}{t} L_t = \gamma.$$

- (ii) The integrals $\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} dL_s(\omega)$ are pathwisely uniformly bounded in $\lambda > 0$ on finite time intervals $[T_1, T_2]$ in $\mathbb{R}$.
(iii) The integrals $\int_{T_1}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} dL_s(\omega) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, pathwise on finite time intervals $[T_1, T_2]$ in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. (i) This convergence result comes from the law of large numbers, in [25], Theorem 36.5.

(ii) Due to the continuous of function $h(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$, on integrating by parts we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} dL_s(\omega) = L_t(\omega) - \lambda \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} L_s(\omega) ds.$$

Then we use (i) to conclude (ii).

(iii) Integrating again by parts, it follows that

$$\int_{T_1}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} dL_s(\omega) = (L_t - L_{T_1})e^{-\lambda(t-T_1)} + \lambda \int_{T_1}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} (L_t(\omega) - L_s(\omega)) ds,$$

from which the result follows. $\square$

Remark 1. The assumptions on L_t in the above lemma are satisfied by a wide class of Lévy processes, for instance, the α -stable symmetric Lévy motion on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $1 < \alpha < 2$. Indeed, in this case, we have $\int_{|x|>1} |x| \nu(dx) < \infty$, and then $E|L_1| < \infty$; see [25] Theorem 25.3.

Let us introduce the canonical sample space for Lévy processes, the space $\Omega = D(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ of càdlàg functions, i.e., continuous on the right and have limits on the left, defined on $\mathbb{R}$ and taking values in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

If we use the usual compact-open metric, $D(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is not separable. However, it is complete and separable when endowed with the Skorohod metric [3, 29], in which case we call $D(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ a Skorohod space. The Skorohod metric on $D(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as

$$d(x, y) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m} (1 \wedge d_m^c(x^m, y^m)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in D$$

where $x^m(t) := g_m(t)x(t)$, $y^m(t) := g_m(t)y(t)$ with

$$g_m(t) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |t| \leq m-1 \\ m-t, & \text{if } m-1 \leq |t| \leq m, \\ 0, & \text{if } |t| \geq m \end{cases}$$

and

$$d_m^\circ(x, y) := \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left\{ \sup_{-m \leq s < t \leq m} \left| \log \frac{\lambda(t) - \lambda(s)}{t - s} \right| \vee \sup_{-m \leq t \leq m} |x(t) - y(\lambda(t))| \right\},$$

where Λ denotes the set of strictly increasing, continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to itself.

Similarly, we can define a Skorohod space on a bounded time interval $D([T_1, T_2], \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, in particular, the metric d_1° is the Skorohod metric on $D([-1, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$.

We recall the following compactness result (see [3], Page 116) in $D([T_1, T_2], \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lemma 2. (*Ascoli-Arzelà theorem in $D([T_1, T_2], \mathbb{R}^d)$*)

For $S \subset [T_1, T_2]$, let

$$w_x(S) = \sup\{|x(s) - x(t)| : s, t \in S\}$$

and for $0 < \delta < 1$ define

$$w'_x(\delta) = \inf_{t_i} \max_{0 < i \leq r} w_x([t_{i-1}, t_i]),$$

where the infimum is taken over all the finite sets $\{t_i\}$ of points satisfying $T_1 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_r = T_2$ with $t_i - t_{i-1} < \delta$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Then, a set B has compact closure in the Skorohod space $D([T_1, T_2], \mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $\sup_{x \in B} \sup_t |x(t)| < \infty$ and $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in B} w'_x(\delta) = 0$.

2.2 SDE driven by Lévy processes

We consider the following stochastic differential equation (SDE) driven by Lévy motion, which has continuous drift and Brownian motion components, namely

$$\begin{aligned} dY(t) = & b(Y(t-))dt + \sigma(Y(t-))dB_t + \int_{|x| < c} F(Y(t-), x)\tilde{N}(dt, dx) \\ & + \int_{|x| \geq c} G(Y(t-), x)N(dt, dx) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{N}(dt, dx)$ and $N(dt, dx)$ are defined above, and the coefficients b, σ, F, G are all assumed to be measurable. Here F and G may be different, while the positive parameter c may be different from 1, which allows greater generality.

We introduce the $d \times d$ matrix

$$a(x, y) = \sigma(x)\sigma(y)^T, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (4)$$

and define

$$\|a(x, y)\| = \sum_{i=1}^d |a_{i,i}(x, y)|.$$

We make the following general assumptions for the SDE (3):

A.1 There exists $K_1 > 0$ such that, for all $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |b(y_1) - b(y_2)|^2 + \|a(y_1, y_1) - 2a(y_1, y_2) + a(y_2, y_2)\| \\ & + \int_{|x|<1} |F(y_1, x) - F(y_2, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \leq K_1 |y_1 - y_2|^2. \end{aligned}$$

A.2 There exists $K_2 > 0$ such that, for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$|b(y)|^2 + \|a(y, y)\| + \int_{|x|<1} |F(y, x)|^2 \nu(dx) \leq K_2 (1 + |y|^2).$$

A.3 There exists $\delta > 2$ and $K_3 > 0$ such that, for all $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\int_{|x|<1} |F(y_1, x) - F(y_2, x)|^p \nu(dx) \leq K_3 |y_1 - y_2|^p,$$

for all $2 \leq p \leq \delta$.

From [1], Theorem 6.23, page 304, we have the following existence and uniqueness result for solutions of such SDE driven by Lévy motion

Lemma 3. *Suppose that conditions (A1) and (A2) are satisfied and that the mapping $y \rightarrow G(y, x)$ be continuous for all $|x| \geq c$. Then there exists a unique global càdlàg adapted solution of the SDE (3).*

Note that a càdlàg solution process has finite or at most countable discontinuities on any time interval (see, e.g., p.118, [1]). For more details about SDEs driven by Lévy motions, see [11, 19, 18]. Due to the Lévy-Itô decomposition (1), the following SDE, which we consider in the sequel,

$$dY(t) = f(Y(t-))dt + g(Y(t-))dL_t$$

is a special case of (3).

Remark 2. *The reason to take the left limit in $Y(t-)$ in the equation (3) is to make sure that the càdlàg solution process Y is predictable and unique [21]. For typographical convenience, however, we will write $Y(t)$ instead of $Y(t-)$ for the rest of this paper. Moreover, in the case of additive noise, i.e., if the noise intensity $g(\cdot)$ does not depend on the state Y , the distinction for left limit or not is not necessary, when we consider the integral form of the equation (3), as $\int_{t_0}^T f(Y(t-))dt = \int_{t_0}^T f(Y(t))dt$ for continuous f . In this case $f(Y(t-))$ has only countable discontinuous points and is thus Riemann and Lebesgue integrable.*

Remark 3. *The above global assumptions do not hold for SDE, which we consider in the sequel, with a nonlinear dissipative drift f term such as $x^T f(x) \leq K - l|x|^2$ for some constants $K \geq 0$ and $l > 0$. However analogous global existence and uniqueness results also hold in this case since the dissipativity condition prevents explosions and hence ensures otherwise local existence is global. See [29] for more details.*

2.3 Random dynamical systems

Following Arnold [2], a random dynamical system (RDS) on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ consists of two ingredients: A driving flow θ_t on the probability space Ω , i.e., θ_t is a deterministic dynamical system; and a cocycle mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, namely, φ satisfies the conditions:

$$\varphi(0, \omega) = id_{\mathbb{R}^d}, \quad \varphi(t+s, \omega) = \varphi(t, \theta_s \omega) \circ \varphi(s, \omega),$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. This cocycle is required to be at least measurable from the σ -field $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to the σ -field $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

For random dynamical systems driven by Lévy noise we take $\Omega = D(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ with the Skorohod metric as the canonical sample space and denote by $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{B}(D(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d))$ the associated Borel σ -field. Let μ_L be the (Lévy) probability

measure on $\mathcal{F}$ which is given by the distribution of a two-sided Lévy process with paths in $D(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d)$.

The driving system $\theta = (\theta_t, t \in \mathbb{R})$ on Ω is defined by the shift

$$(\theta_t \omega)(s) := \omega(t + s) - \omega(t). \quad (5)$$

The map $(t, \omega) \rightarrow \theta_t \omega$ is continuous, thus measurable ([2] page 545), and the (Lévy) probability measure is θ -invariant, i.e.

$$\mu_L(\theta_t^{-1}(A)) = \mu_L(A)$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$, see [1], page 325.

Lemma 4. (*RDS generated by SDEs driven by Lévy motion*)

Suppose in addition to the assumptions of Lemma 3 that condition (A3) is satisfied. Then there exists a unique càdlàg adapted solution to (3), and the solution mapping defines a RDS, which is continuous in x but càdlàg in time.

Proof. Let $\Phi_{s,t}$ satisfy (3) with initial condition $\Phi_{s,s}(y) = y$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} d\Phi_{s,t}(y) &= b(\Phi_{s,t-}(y)) dt + \sigma(\Phi_{s,t-}(y)) dB_t + \int_{|x| < c} F(\Phi_{s,t-}(y), x) \tilde{N}(dt, dx) \\ &\quad + \int_{|x| \geq c} G(\Phi_{s,t-}(y), x) N(dt, dx). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

By Theorem 6.4.2 on page 322 and Corollary 6.4.11 on page 327 of [1], Φ is a Lévy flow, and satisfies

$$\Phi_{0,s+t}(y, \omega) = \Phi_{0,t}(\Phi_{0,s}(y), \theta_s(\omega)).$$

We define $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$\varphi(t, y, \omega) = \Phi_{0,t}(y, \omega). \quad (7)$$

It follows that

$$\varphi(t + s, y, \omega) = \varphi(t, \varphi(s, y, \omega), \theta_s(\omega)).$$

Moreover, we note that $\varphi(t, y, \omega)$ is continuous in y , measurable in ω and càdlàg in t . (cf. [1], page 336). It follows that the mapping φ is measurable from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$.

With this we only need Theorem 1.3.2 and Remark 1.3.3 in [2] (pages 17-20) to complete the proof. $\square$

Remark 4. *In view of Remark 3 and analogous result holds for SDE with a nonlinear dissipative drift term [1]. Note that the perfection of crude discontinuous cocycles is considered in [32].*

We say that a family $\hat{A} = \{A(\omega), \omega \in \Omega\}$ of non-empty measurable compact subsets $A(\omega)$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is *invariant* for a RDS (θ, φ) , if $\varphi(t, \omega, A(\omega)) = A(\theta_t \omega)$ for all $t > 0$ and that it is a random attractor if in addition it is pathwise pullback attracting in the sense that

$$H_d^*(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega, D(\theta_{-t}\omega)), A(\omega)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

for all suitable families (called the attracting universe) of $\hat{D} = \{D(\omega), \omega \in \Omega\}$ of non-empty measurable bounded subsets $D(\omega)$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$, where H_d^* is the Hausdorff semi-distance on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

The following result about the existence of a random attractor may be proved similarly as in [30, 6, 8, 31, 17].

Lemma 5. *(Random attractor for càdlàg RDS)*

Let (θ, φ) be an RDS on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and let φ be continuous in space, but càdlàg in time. If there exists a family $\hat{B} = \{B(\omega), \omega \in \Omega\}$ of non-empty measurable compact subsets $B(\omega)$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and a $T_{\hat{D}, \omega} \geq 0$ such that

$$\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega, D(\theta_{-t}\omega)) \subset B(\omega), \quad \forall t \geq T_{\hat{D}, \omega},$$

for all families $\hat{D} = \{D(\omega), \omega \in \Omega\}$ in a given attracting universe, then the RDS (θ, φ) has a random attractor $\hat{A} = \{A(\omega), \omega \in \Omega\}$ with the component subsets defined for each $\omega \in \Omega$ by

$$A(\omega) = \bigcap_{s>0} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq s} \varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega, B(\theta_{-t}\omega))}$$

Forevermore if the random attractor consists of singleton sets, i.e $A(\omega) = \{X^(\omega)\}$ for some random variable X^* , then $X_t^*(\omega) = X^*(\theta_t \omega)$ is a stationary stochastic process.*

We also need the following Gronwall's lemma from [22].

Lemma 6. *Let $x(t)$ satisfy the differential inequality*

$$\frac{d}{dt_+} x \leq g(t)x + h(t)$$

where $\frac{d}{dt_+} x := \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{x(t+h) - x(t)}{h}$ is right-hand derivative of x . Then

$$x(t) \leq x(0) \exp\left[\int_0^t g(r) dr\right] + \int_0^t \exp\left[\int_s^t g(r) dr\right] h(s) ds.$$

3 Dissipative synchronization

Suppose we have two autonomous ordinary differential equations in $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x), \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = g(y) \quad (8)$$

where the vector fields f and g are sufficiently regular (e.g., differentiable) to ensure the existence and uniqueness of *local* solutions, and additionally satisfy one-side dissipative Lipschitz conditions

$$\max \{ \langle x_1 - x_2, f(x_1) - f(x_2) \rangle, \langle x_1 - x_2, g(x_1) - g(x_2) \rangle \} \leq -l|x_1 - x_2|^2 \quad (9)$$

on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for some $l > 0$. These dissipative Lipschitz conditions ensure existence and uniqueness of *global* solutions; see Remark 3 above. Each of the systems has a unique globally asymptotically stable equilibria, $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$, respectively [17]. Then, the coupled *deterministic* dynamical system in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x) + \lambda(y - x), \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = g(y) + \lambda(x - y) \quad (10)$$

with parameter $\lambda > 0$ also satisfies a one-sided dissipative Lipschitz condition and, hence, also has a unique equilibrium $(\bar{x}^\lambda, \bar{y}^\lambda)$, which is globally asymptotically stable [17]. Moreover, $(\bar{x}^\lambda, \bar{y}^\lambda) \rightarrow (\bar{z}, \bar{z})$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, where $\bar{z}$ is the unique globally asymptotically stable equilibrium of the “averaged” system in $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} (f(z) + g(z)). \quad (11)$$

This phenomena is known as synchronization for the coupled deterministic system (10). The parameter λ often appears naturally in the context of the problem under consideration. For example in control theory it is a control parameter which can be chosen by the engineer, whereas in chemical reactions in thin layers separated by a membrane it is the reciprocal of the thickness of the layers, see [5].

Caraballo *et al.* [6, 7] showed that this synchronization phenomenon persists under Gaussian Brownian noise, provided that asymptotically stable stochastic stationary solutions are considered rather than asymptotically stable steady state solutions. Recall that a stationary solution X^* of a SDE system may be characterized as a stationary orbit of the corresponding random dynamical system (θ, φ) (defined by the SDE system), namely, $\varphi(t, \omega, X^*(\omega)) = X^*(\theta_t \omega)$.

They considered a coupled system of stochastic differential equations (SDEs) in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$.

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = [f(X_t) + \lambda(Y_t - X_t)] dt + \alpha dB_t^1, \\ dY_t = [g(Y_t) + \lambda(X_t - Y_t)] dt + \beta dB_t^2. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are constant vectors with no components equal to zero, B_t^1, B_t^2 are independent two-sided scalar Brownian motions, and f, g satisfy the one-side dissipative Lipschitz conditions (9). This coupled system has a unique stationary solution $(\bar{X}_t^\lambda, \bar{Y}_t^\lambda)$, which is pathwise globally asymptotically stable. Moreover, the coupled system (12) is synchronized to the “averaged” SDE in $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$dZ_t = \frac{1}{2} [f(Z_t) + g(Z_t)] dt + \frac{1}{2}\alpha dB_t^1 + \frac{1}{2}\beta dB_t^2 \quad (13)$$

in the sense that $(\bar{X}_t^\lambda, \bar{Y}_t^\lambda) \rightarrow (\bar{Z}_t^\infty, \bar{Z}_t^\infty)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, where $\bar{Z}_t^\infty$ is the unique pathwise globally asymptotically stable stationary solution of (13).

The aim of this paper is to investigate synchronization under non-Gaussian Lévy noise. In particular, we consider a coupled SDE system in $\mathbb{R}^d$, driven by non-Gaussian Lévy noise in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = (f(X_t) + \lambda(Y_t - X_t))dt + \alpha dL_t^1, \\ dY_t = (g(Y_t) + \lambda(X_t - Y_t))dt + \beta dL_t^2, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where α, β, f, g are as above, and L_t^1, L_t^2 are independent two-sided scalar Lévy processes satisfying conditions in Lemma 1. We assume that this coupled system defines a random dynamical system φ (i.e., it satisfies the assumptions in Lemma 4 or some generalization of it).

In addition to the one-side Lipschitz dissipative condition (9) on the functions f and g , as in [6] we further assume the following integrability condition: There exists $m_0 > 0$ such that for any $m \in (0, m_0]$, and any càdlàg function $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ with sub-exponential growth it follows

$$\int_{-\infty}^t e^{ms} |f(u(s))|^2 ds < \infty, \quad \int_{-\infty}^t e^{ms} |g(u(s))|^2 ds < \infty. \quad (15)$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that the one-sided dissipative Lipschitz constant $l \leq m_0$.

In the next section we will show that the coupled system (14) has a unique stationary solution $(\bar{X}_t^\lambda, \bar{Y}_t^\lambda)$ which is pathwise globally asymptotically stable with $(\bar{X}_t^\lambda, \bar{Y}_t^\lambda) \rightarrow (\bar{Z}_t^\infty, \bar{Z}_t^\infty)$ in Skorohod metric as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, pathwise on finite time-intervals $[T_1, T_2]$, where $\bar{Z}_t^\infty$ is the unique pathwise globally asymptotically stable stationary solution of the “averaged” SDE in $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$dZ_t = \frac{1}{2} [f(Z_t) + g(Z_t)] dt + \frac{1}{2}\alpha dL_t^1 + \frac{1}{2}\beta dL_t^2. \quad (16)$$

4 Systems driven by Lévy noise

For the coupled system (14), we have the follow two lemmas about its stationary solutions.

Lemma 7. (*Existence of stationary solutions*)

If the Assumption (15) holds, and f and g satisfy the one-side Lipschitz dissipative conditions (9), then the coupled stochastic system (14) has a unique stationary solution.

Proof. First, the stationary solutions of the Langevin equations

$$dX_t = -\lambda X_t dt + \alpha dL_t^1, \quad dY_t = -\lambda Y_t dt + \beta dL_t^2 \quad (17)$$

are given by

$$\bar{X}_t^\lambda = \alpha e^{-\lambda t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda s} dL_s^1, \quad \bar{Y}_t^\lambda = \beta e^{-\lambda t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda s} dL_s^2 \quad (18)$$

The differences of the solutions of (14) and these stationary solutions satisfy a system of random ordinary differential equations, with right-hand derivative in time:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt_+} (X_t - \bar{X}_t^\lambda) = f(X_t) + \lambda(Y_t - X_t) + \lambda \bar{X}_t^\lambda, \\ \frac{d}{dt_+} (Y_t - \bar{Y}_t^\lambda) = g(Y_t) + \lambda(X_t - Y_t) + \lambda \bar{Y}_t^\lambda \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

The equations (19) are equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{dt_+} U_t^\lambda = f(X_t) + \lambda(V_t^\lambda - U_t^\lambda) + \lambda \bar{Y}_t^\lambda, \quad \frac{d}{dt_+} V_t^\lambda = g(Y_t) + \lambda(U_t^\lambda - V_t^\lambda) + \lambda \bar{X}_t^\lambda \quad (20)$$

where $U_t^\lambda = X_t - \bar{X}_t^\lambda$ and $V_t^\lambda = Y_t - \bar{Y}_t^\lambda$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt_+} (|U_t^\lambda|^2 + |V_t^\lambda|^2) &= (U_t^\lambda, f(U_t^\lambda + \bar{X}_t^\lambda) - f(\bar{X}_t^\lambda)) + (V_t^\lambda, g(V_t^\lambda + \bar{Y}_t^\lambda) - g(\bar{Y}_t^\lambda)) \\ &\quad + (U_t^\lambda, f(\bar{X}_t^\lambda) + \lambda \bar{Y}_t^\lambda) + (V_t^\lambda, g(\bar{Y}_t^\lambda) + \lambda \bar{X}_t^\lambda) - \lambda |U_t^\lambda - V_t^\lambda|^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{l}{2} (|U_t^\lambda|^2 + |V_t^\lambda|^2) + \frac{2}{l} |f(\bar{X}_t^\lambda) + \lambda \bar{Y}_t^\lambda|^2 + \frac{2}{l} |g(\bar{Y}_t^\lambda) + \lambda \bar{X}_t^\lambda|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence ,by Lemma 6,

$$\begin{aligned} |U_t^\lambda|^2 + |V_t^\lambda|^2 &\leq (|U_{t_0}^\lambda|^2 + |V_{t_0}^\lambda|^2) e^{-\frac{l}{2}(t-t_0)} \\ &\quad + \frac{4e^{-\frac{lt}{2}}}{l} \int_{t_0}^t e^{\frac{ls}{2}} [|f(\bar{X}_t^\lambda) + \lambda \bar{Y}_t^\lambda|^2 + |g(\bar{Y}_t^\lambda) + \lambda \bar{X}_t^\lambda|^2] ds \end{aligned}$$

This means that $|U_t^\lambda|^2 + |V_t^\lambda|^2$ is pathwise absorbed by the family $\hat{B}_{2d}^\lambda = \{B_{2d}^\lambda(\omega), \omega \in \Omega\}$ of closed balls in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ centred on the origin and of radius $R_\lambda(\omega)$, where the $|R_\lambda(\omega)|^2$ is given by

$$1 + \frac{4e^{-\frac{lt}{2}}}{l} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\frac{ls}{2}} [|f(\bar{X}_t^\lambda(\theta_s \omega)) + \lambda \bar{Y}_t^\lambda(\theta_s \omega)|^2 + |g(\bar{Y}_t^\lambda(\theta_s \omega)) + \lambda \bar{X}_t^\lambda(\theta_s \omega)|^2] ds$$

Hence, by Lemma 5, the coupled system has a random attractor $\hat{A}^\lambda = \{A^\lambda(\omega), \omega \in \Omega\}$ with $A^\lambda(\omega) \subset B_{2d}^\lambda(\omega)$.

However, the difference $(\Delta X_t, \Delta Y_t) = (X_t^1 - X_t^2, Y_t^1 - Y_t^2)$ of any pair of solutions satisfies the system of random ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt_+} \Delta X_t &= f(X_t^1) - f(X_t^2) + \lambda(\Delta Y_t - \Delta X_t), \\ \frac{d}{dt_+} \Delta Y_t &= g(Y_t^1) - g(Y_t^2) - \lambda(\Delta Y_t - \Delta X_t), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt_+} (|\Delta X_t|^2 + |\Delta Y_t|^2) &= 2(\Delta X_t, f(X_t^1) - f(X_t^2)) + 2(\Delta Y_t, g(Y_t^1) - g(Y_t^2)) \\ &\quad - 2\lambda |\Delta X_t - \Delta Y_t|^2 \\ &\leq -2l(|\Delta X_t|^2 + |\Delta Y_t|^2) \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain

$$|\Delta X_t|^2 + |\Delta Y_t|^2 \leq (|\Delta X_0|^2 + |\Delta Y_0|^2) e^{-2lt}$$

which means all solutions converge pathwise to each other as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the random attractor consists of a singleton set formed by an ordered pair of stationary processes $(\bar{X}_t^\lambda(\omega), \bar{Y}_t^\lambda(\omega))$. $\square$

Remark 5. *Using Lemma 1, it can be shown that the random compact absorbing balls $B_{2d}^\lambda(\omega)$ are contained in the common compact ball for $\lambda \geq 1$.*

Lemma 8. *(A property of stationary solutions)*

The stationary solutions of the coupled stochastic system (14) have the following asymptotic behavior:

$$\bar{X}_t^\lambda(\omega) - \bar{Y}_t^\lambda(\omega) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

pathwise on any bounded time-interval $[T_1, T_2]$ of $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Since

$$d(\bar{X}_t^\lambda - \bar{Y}_t^\lambda) = \left(-2\lambda(\bar{X}_t^\lambda - \bar{Y}_t^\lambda) + f(\bar{X}_t^\lambda) - g(\bar{Y}_t^\lambda) \right) dt + \alpha dL_t^1 - \beta dL_t^2,$$

we have

$$d(D_t^\lambda e^{2\lambda t}) = e^{2\lambda t} \left(f(\bar{X}_t^\lambda) - g(\bar{Y}_t^\lambda) \right) + \alpha e^{2\lambda t} dL_t^1 - \beta e^{2\lambda t} dL_t^2,$$

for with $D_t^\lambda = \bar{X}_t^\lambda - \bar{Y}_t^\lambda$, so pathwise

$$\begin{aligned} |D_t^\lambda| &\leq e^{-2\lambda(t-T_1)} |D_{T_1}^\lambda| + \int_{T_1}^t e^{-2\lambda(t-s)} \left(|f(\bar{X}_s^\lambda)| + |g(\bar{Y}_s^\lambda)| \right) ds \\ &\quad + |\alpha| \left| \int_{T_1}^t e^{-2\lambda(t-s)} dL_s^1 \right| + |\beta| \left| \int_{T_1}^t e^{-2\lambda(t-s)} dL_s^2 \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 we see that the radius $R_\lambda(\theta_t \omega)$ is pathwise uniformly bounded on each bounded time-interval $[T_1, T_2]$, so we see that the right hand of above inequality converge to 0 as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ pathwise on the bounded time-interval $[T_1, T_2]$. $\square$

We now present the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. (*Synchronization under non-Gaussian Lévy noise*)

Suppose that the coupled stochastic system in $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = (f(X_t) + \lambda(Y_t - X_t)) dt + \alpha dL_t^1, \\ dY_t = (g(Y_t) + \lambda(X_t - Y_t)) dt + \beta dL_t^2, \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

defines a random dynamical system (θ, φ) . In addition, assume that f and g satisfy the integrability condition (15) as well as the one-side Lipschitz dissipative condition (9).

Then the coupled stochastic system (21) is synchronized to a single averaged SDE in $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$dZ_t = \frac{1}{2} [f(Z_t) + g(Z_t)] dt + \frac{1}{2} \alpha dL_t^1 + \frac{1}{2} \beta dL_t^2, \quad (22)$$

in the sense that the stationary solutions of (21) pathwise converge to that of (22), i.e. $(\bar{X}_t^\lambda, \bar{Y}_t^\lambda) \rightarrow (Z_t^\infty, Z_t^\infty)$ in Skorohod metric on any bounded time-interval $[T_1, T_2]$ as parameter $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. It is enough to demonstrate the result for any sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$. Define

$$Z_t^\lambda := \frac{1}{2} [\bar{X}_t^\lambda + \bar{Y}_t^\lambda], \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (23)$$

Note that Z_t^λ satisfies the equation

$$dZ_t^\lambda = \frac{1}{2} [f(\bar{X}_t^\lambda) + g(\bar{Y}_t^\lambda)] dt + \frac{1}{2} \alpha dL_t^1 + \frac{1}{2} \beta dL_t^2. \quad (24)$$

Also we define

$$\bar{Z}_t := \bar{X}_t + \bar{Y}_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\bar{X}_t$ and $\bar{Y}_t$ are the (stationary) solutions of the Langevin equations

$$dX_t = -X_t dt + \alpha dL_t^1, \quad dY_t = -Y_t dt + \beta dL_t^2, \quad (25)$$

i.e.

$$\bar{X}_t = \alpha e^{-t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^s dL_t^1, \quad \bar{Y}_t = \beta e^{-t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^s dL_t^2.$$

The difference $Z_t^\lambda - \bar{Z}_t$ satisfies pathwise a random ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt_+} (Z_t^\lambda - \bar{Z}_t) = \frac{1}{2} (f(\bar{X}_t^\lambda) + g(\bar{Y}_t^\lambda)) + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{X}_t + \bar{Y}_t).$$

By Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt_+} (Z_t^\lambda(\omega) - \bar{Z}_t(\omega)) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2} |f(\bar{X}_t^\lambda(\omega)) + g(\bar{Y}_t^\lambda(\omega))| + \frac{1}{2} |\bar{X}_t(\omega) + \bar{Y}_t(\omega)| \\ &\leq M_{T_1, T_2}(\omega) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

by the càdlàg property of the solutions and the fact that these solutions belong to the common compact ball. We can use Lemma 2 to conclude that for any sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$, there is a random subsequence $\lambda_{n_j}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$, such that $Z_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) - \bar{Z}_t(\omega) \rightarrow Z_t^\infty(\omega) - \bar{Z}_t(\omega)$ in Skorohod metric as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $Z_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) \rightarrow Z_t^\infty(\omega)$ in the Skorohod metric as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) - \bar{Y}_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) &= \frac{\bar{X}_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) - \bar{Y}_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega)}{2} \rightarrow 0, \\ Z_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) - \bar{X}_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) &= \frac{\bar{Y}_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) - \bar{X}_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega)}{2} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $\lambda_{n_j} \rightarrow \infty$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) &= 2Z_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) - \bar{Y}_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) \rightarrow Z_t^\infty(\omega), \\ \bar{Y}_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) &= 2Z_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) - \bar{X}_t^{\lambda_{n_j}}(\omega) \rightarrow Z_t^\infty(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

as $\lambda_{n_j} \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t^\lambda - \bar{Z}_t &= Z_{T_1}^\lambda - \bar{Z}_{T_1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_1}^t f(\bar{X}_s^\lambda) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_1}^t g(\bar{Y}_s^\lambda) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_1}^t \bar{X}_s ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_1}^t \bar{Y}_s ds, \end{aligned}$$

which converges pathwise to

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t^\infty &= Z_{T_1}^\infty + \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_1}^t f(\bar{X}_s^\infty) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_1}^t g(\bar{Y}_s^\infty) ds \\ &\quad + \bar{Z}_t - \bar{Z}_{T_1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_1}^t \bar{X}_s ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_1}^t \bar{Y}_s ds \\ &= Z_{T_1}^\infty + \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_1}^t f(\bar{X}_s^\infty) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_1}^t g(\bar{Y}_s^\infty) ds + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{T_1}^t dL_s^1 + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{T_1}^t dL_s^2, \end{aligned}$$

on the interval $[T_1, T_2]$. Therefore, Z_t^∞ is a solution of the averaged SDE (22) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The drift of this SDE satisfies the dissipative one-side condition (9). It has a random attractor consisting of a singleton set formed by a stationary orbit, which must be equal to Z_t^∞ .

Finally, we note that all possible subsequences of $Z_t^{\lambda_n}$ have the same pathwise limit. Thus the full sequence $Z_t^{\lambda_n}$ converges to Z_t^∞ , as $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof. $\square$

4.1 An example

Consider two scalar SDEs:

$$dX_t = -(X_t + 1) dt + dL_t^1, \quad dY_t = -(Y_t + 3) dt + 2 dL_t^2,$$

which we rewrite as

$$dX_t = -X_t dt + dL_t^3, \quad dY_t = -Y_t dt + 2dL_t^4,$$

where $L_t^3 = 1 + L_t^1$ and $L_t^4 = 3/2 + L_t^2$.

The corresponding coupled system (21) is

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = -X_t dt + \lambda(Y_t - X_t) dt + dL_t^3, \\ dY_t = -Y_t dt + \lambda(X_t - Y_t) dt + 2dL_t^4 \end{cases}$$

with the stationary solutions

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{X_t^\lambda} &= \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-(\lambda+1)(t-s)} \cosh \lambda(t-s) dL_s^3 + 2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-(\lambda+1)(t-s)} \sinh \lambda(t-s) dL_s^4, \\ \overline{Y_t^\lambda} &= \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-(\lambda+1)(t-s)} \sinh \lambda(t-s) dL_s^3 + 2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-(\lambda+1)(t-s)} \cosh \lambda(t-s) dL_s^4. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$(\overline{X_t^\lambda}, \overline{Y_t^\lambda}) \rightarrow (Z_t^\infty, Z_t^\infty),$$

where Z_t^∞ , given by

$$Z_t^\infty = \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{2} e^{-(t-s)} dL_s^3 + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-(t-s)} dL_s^4,$$

is the stationary solution of the following averaged SDE

$$dZ_t = -Z_t dt + \frac{1}{2} dL_t^3 + dL_t^4,$$

which is equivalent to the following SDE, in terms of the original Lévy motions L^1 and L^2 :

$$dZ_t = -(Z_t + 2) dt + \frac{1}{2} dL_t^1 + dL_t^2.$$

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Peter Imkeller and Bjorn Schmalfuss for helpful discussions and comments.

References

- [1] D. Applebaum, *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2004.
- [2] L. Arnold, *Random Dynamical Systems*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [3] P. Billingsley. *Convergence of Probability Measure*. Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [4] J. P. Bouchaud and A. Georges, Anomalous diffusion in disordered media: Statistic mechanics, models and physical applications. *Phys. Repts.* **195** (1990), 127-293.
- [5] T. Caraballo, I. Chueshov and P. E. Kloeden, Synchronization of a stochastic reaction-diffusion system on a thin two-layer domain, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **38** (2007), 1489–1507.
- [6] T. Caraballo and P. E. Kloeden, The persistence of synchronization under environmental noise, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 461 , 2257-2267, 2005.
- [7] T. Caraballo, P. E. Kloeden and A. Neuenkirch, Synchronization of systems with multiplicative noise, *Stochastics and Dynamics* **8** , no. 1, 139–154, 2008.
- [8] H. Crauel and F. Flandoli, Attractors for random dynamical systems. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **100**, 365 -393 1994.

- [9] P. D. Ditlevsen, Observation of α -stable noise induced millennial climate changes from an ice record. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **26** (1999), 1441-1444.
- [10] R. M. Dudley, *Real Analysis and Probability*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [11] T. Fujiwara, H. Kunita, Stochastic differential equations of jump type and Lévy flows in diffeomorphisms group, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 25, 71-106, 1985.
- [12] M. P. Herrchen, *Stochastic Modeling of Dispersive Diffusion by Non-Gaussian Noise*. Doctorial Thesis, Swiss Federal Inst. of Tech., Zurich, 2001.
- [13] P. Imkeller and I. Pavlyukevich, First exit time of SDEs driven by stable Lévy processes. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **116** (2006), 611-642.
- [14] P. Imkeller, I. Pavlyukevich and T. Wetzel, First exit times for Lévy-driven diffusions with exponentially light jumps. arXiv:0711.0982.
- [15] J. Jacod and A.N. Shiryaev, *Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes*. Springer, New York, 1987.
- [16] A. Janicki and A. Weron, *Simulation and Chaotic Behavior of α -Stable Stochastic Processes*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1994.
- [17] P. E. Kloeden, Nonautonomous attractors of switching systems, *Dynamical Systems* 21 209-230, 2006.
- [18] H. Kunita, Stochastic differential equations based on Lévy processes and stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms. *Real and stochastic analysis*, 305-373, Trends Math., Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [19] H. Kunita, Stochastic differential equations with jumps and stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms, (*Itô's stochastic calculus and probability theory*.) Springer, Tokyo, 197-211, 1996.
- [20] B. Oksendal, *Stochastic Differential Equations*. Sixth Ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.

- [21] S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations with Lévy Processes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2007.
- [22] J. C. Robinson, *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001.
- [23] H. L. Royden, *Real Analysis*. Third Edition, Prentice-Hall, 1988.
- [24] G. Samorodnitsky and M. Grigoriu. *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes*. Chapman & Hall, New York, 1994.
- [25] K.-I. Sato, *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [26] H. Scher, M. F. Shlesinger and J. T. Bendler, Time-scale invariance in transport and relaxation. *Phys. Today* p.26-34, Jan. 1991.
- [27] D. Schertzer, M. Larcheveque, J. Duan, V. Yanovsky and S. Lovejoy, Fractional Fokker–Planck Equation for Nonlinear Stochastic Differential Equations Driven by Non-Gaussian Levy Stable Noises, *J. Math. Phys.* **42** (2000), 200-212.
- [28] M. F. Shlesinger, G. M. Zaslavsky and U. Frisch, Lévy Flights and Related Topics in Physics (Lecture Notes in Physics, 450. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995).
- [29] R. Situ. *Theory of Stochastic Differential Equations with Jumps and Applications* Springer, 2005.
- [30] B. Schmalfuss, Attractors for nonautonomous and random dynamical systems perturbed by impulses. *Discrete and Cont. Dyn. Syst.* **9** No. 3, 727-744, 2003.
- [31] B. Schmalfuss, The random attractor of the stochastic Lorenz system. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **48** No. 6, 951 -975 1997.
- [32] M. Scheutzow, On the perfection of crude cocycles. *Random & Computational Dynamics* **4** (1996), 235-255.
- [33] S. Strogatz, *Sync: The Emerging Science of Spontaneous Order*, Hupe- rion Press, New York, 2003.

- [34] Z. Yang and J. Duan, An intermediate regime for exit phenomena driven by non-Gaussian Lévy noises. *Stochastics and Dynamics*, Vol.8, No.3, 583-591, 2008.
- [35] F. Yonezawa, Introduction to focused session on ‘anomalous relaxation’. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, **198-200** (1996), 503-506.